

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Bellbrook Village Review Board

June 6, 2023

CALL THE MEETING ORDER:

Mrs. Greenwood called the meeting of the Bellbrook Village Review Board to order at 6:00pm

ROLL CALL:

Mr. Thad Camp
Mrs. Jacqueline Greenwood
Mr. Jefferson Seguin
Mrs. Lakeisha Taylor

ABSENT:

Mr. Jeff Owens

ALSO PRESENT:

Jason Foster, Community Development Administrator
Rob Schommer, City Manager

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Mrs. Greenwood asked if any members had comments or corrections to the minutes of the February 7, 2023, meeting. Hearing none, the minutes were declared approved.

OLD BUSINESS:

None

NEW BUSINESS:

Mrs. Greenwood spoke to the business applicant of 91 West Franklin Street, requesting a structure built at the residence for storage.

VRB23-01: Mr. Foster spoke about the residence Doug Short of 91 West Franklin Street and construction in detail. Mr. Short, the applicant, is proposing the construction of a storage building. The construction of the building will be stick built completed with vinyl siding on the Southeast and West sides and a combination of vinyl siding and stone on the Northside which faces West Franklin Street. Garage doors proposed are residential style. The proposed structure needs to be built in unison with that existing building. The (Property B4) in the Old Village that allows for business and residential. Currently on the property is a multi-unit residential with additional garages in the rear.

Staff recommend decorative landscaping along Franklin Street. Also, screening as per (Code18.17) zoning code.

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Bellbrook Village Review Board

June 6, 2023

Screening the commercial use that abuts a residential property. Screening can consist of a masonry wall, fence, evergreens, or trees. Trees will be removed, and a detached garage will be demolished in this proposal.

OPEN DISCUSSION:

Doug Short spoke on the single-family residents. The building is one lot, so a screening should not be required since it is on the same property. Correct.

Mr. Foster stated staff recommends the VRB consider splitting the lot. Separate commercial use from residential use.

Mrs. Greenwood asked is this a residence or business.

Mr. Foster stated it is a residential with attached garages. Use would not pertain to this.

Mrs. Greenwood asked are you using garages for your business.

Mr. Short stated he has storage in some of those for his business.

Mr. Foster and the council disgusted the property as a mixed-use property. Residential property with attached garages. Items in the garages are not relevant to this Board.

Mrs. Greenwood states the new construction will be for business.

Mr. Foster stated staff would recommend to the board splitting them to make two separate lots.

Mrs. Taylor asked are the garages for the residents that live in the current building.

Mr. Short states yes. Whomever wants to rent one for storage.

Mrs. Greenwood spoke on the storage areas already in Bellbrook. Stated would not like seeing another store in lock on Main Street and the traffic.

Mr. Short states it would be a major improvement. Plenty of space for traffic.

Mrs. Greenwood stated she feels this is not what downtown Bellbrook needs.

Mr. Short asked what would be suggested for this space.

Mrs. Greenwood states leaving it open green space. Store and lock would not look good for downtown Bellbrook. Council members suggested selling the lot or building a single-family home.

Mr. Short stated it is a B4 lot and this is not the best use of the lot.

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Bellbrook Village Review Board

June 6, 2023

Mr. Seguin stated he agreed with Mrs. Greenwood. The 16 drive up units would be unsettling for the look and feel of downtown Historic Bellbrook.

Mr. Foster stated he appreciates the opinions as it is part of this board. The VRB board can make a recommendation on the building itself, not the use. The use of the building is the decision of the Planning Board.

Mr. Seguin asked who would then make this decision.

Mr. Foster replied, "The Planning Board".

Mrs. Greenwood reads from the code.

Mr. Foster stated you may make a recommendation regarding the building not the use.

Mr. Jefferson Seguin stated, the recommendation would need to reflect the building is way too big for the size of the lot.

Mr. Foster replied, "Whatever you chose to recommend is what will be taken from in the minutes and by staff". If the applicant chooses to report to the Planning Board it will be shared with them to make the final decision on not only your recommendation but the use as well.

Mr. Short stated the building will be one story and won't have many customers within a weeks' time.

Mrs. Greenwood questions what the Village Review Board can consider. The use will be looked at by the Planning Board. The building itself, lighting, and lot (size, fit with the city). Traffic will be looked at by the Engineering staff.

Mr. Seguin asked if there was public notice given on this project.

Mr. Foster replied, everyone within 300 feet would be notified if it went before the Planning Board.

Mrs. Greenwood asked if you need to show up to the Planning Board meeting.

Mr. Schommer replied, there is very good public notice for all public meetings, including the packet description and the description of this project. It was published and released on our website, social media, and publicly available.

Mrs. Greenwood asked if the city would send out a letter to anyone about this situation.

Mr. Foster replied, not for the Village Review Board. BZA and Planning Board only.

Mr. Schommer mentioned he made notes to address items within the agenda. Asked to orient our deliberations to this agenda item and then we can move on. If there are recommendations based on the discussion that's been had, or if there are more discussion or information access from the applicant then

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Bellbrook Village Review Board

June 6, 2023

that would be fine. Any recommendations needed regarding the structure and the plan as submitted in accordance with Article 14, then those recommendation we are ready to deliberate, discuss, and record if need be. Final decision as to whether there is a recommendation for this project or not.

Mr. Schommer asked if there are any recommendations for the applicant to make modifications to it or if the Village Review Board wishes not to consider a recommendation for this project as submitted.

Mr. Schommer stated we cannot argue with the applicant and not have a solution. If recommendations regarding screening and lighting for example, then the VRB would need to consider what previsions and what your recommendation would be.

Mr. Seguin asked per Article 14.15 (review procedure) section 2B1, within seven days after hearing the board, the board shall approve, approve with modifications, or disapprove the application.

Mr. Schommer replied, the only way that you can deliberate and make a recommendation is in an open meeting and that is tonight.

Mr. Seguin stated his question was more towards what is being looked at procedurally from the board tonight, is it to approve, approve with modifications, or disapprove the application.

Mr. Schommer replied yes that is correct. It seemed like the discussion was going down almost as if there were some concerns with size, design, and placement of the structure in the building. Modifications would be something such as screening, landscaping, lighting, and adding design eliminates to the architectural likeness of surrounding buildings.

Mr. Seguin asked if there were any other discussions or thoughts from the board.

Mr. Camp asked Mr. Short if the building would look the same as what is currently there.

Mr. Short replied, yes and landscaping will be added.

Mrs. Taylor asked if any screening on Main Street would be added and is the drive wide enough for the passing of vehicles.

Mr. Short replied, yes, bushes will be added in front on Main and plenty of room for multi vehicles. Low traffic.

Mr. Schommer replied to Mrs. Taylor concerns. Even though it is low traffic it is public through affair it will be evaluated.

Mrs. Greenwood asked if there were any way you would think about reducing the size.

Mr. Short replied, no, I would like it to be approved as is.

Mr. Seguin stated it would have sixteen garages and fourteen inside units.

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Bellbrook Village Review Board

June 6, 2023

Mrs. Greenwood referred to a meeting she attended and quoted items from the comprehensive plan.

Mr. Short asked if Mrs. Greenwood would like to purchase the lot.

Mrs. Greenwood asked, "Do you have it for sale".

Mr. Short stated he would sell it.

Mrs. Greenwood replied, "I thought it was one lot".

Mr. Short stated he could split it off.

Mr. Foster and Mr. Schommer asked the board to stick with the agenda.

Mr. Foster provided the three options approve as is, approval with modifications, or disapprove.

Mrs. Greenwood stated our recommendations must be within strike guidelines.

Mr. Schommer stated the motion would be to approve or approve with modifications. Then the vote determines whether the recommendation is approved or not approved.

Mrs. Greenwood asked for a Motion to approve modifications to the area of 91 West Franklin Street.

Mr. Schommer stated we would need to denote the modifications are.

Mrs. Greenwood replied, size similar ratio and overcrowding. Is that good enough for you.

Mr. Foster stated it would need to be specific. Example, maintain the same size as the structure currently on the lot. Quoting the code is not a valid recommendation.

Mr. Schommer asked, please if there is a modification let's identify those modifications and let's make a motion on that so we can detail those. I like our records and minutes to be accurate.

Mrs. Greenwood's first modification is the size of the building, would like it to be the same size as the existing building, proper screening, and proper lighting.

Mr. Schommer asked, "which building and what size are you referring to".

Mrs. Greenwood replied to the residence.

Mr. Camp asked the residence in the attached garages. I think they are about the same size.

Mr. Foster replied, it is a little larger.

Mr. Schommer mentioned equal the existing structure.

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Bellbrook Village Review Board

June 6, 2023

Mrs. Greenwood would like to see more of a setback from the main road. Is that part of it.

Mr. Schommer stated we need to be more specific.

Mr. Foster explained the setback from the right of way.

Mrs. Greenwood asked if any of the other VRB members have a suggestion on a setback.

Mr. Seguin stated he would suggest having the building front equal to the residents' front.

Mr. Short asked if that included the porch.

Mr. Seguin replied, no.

Mr. Schommer stated there is going to have to be something objective to the recommendation and in line with the requirement of the code. We cannot make recommendations outside of that.

Mr. Foster stated if you want this equal to the house less the porch, is that correct.

Mrs. Greenwood asked if anyone disagreed with this. No

Mr. Schommer replied if we have a reference point to the residential structure then that is good.

Mr. Seguin and Mrs. Greenwood stated 20 to 25 feet from the gutter.

Mr. Schommer and Mr. Foster stated it will be equal to the residential structure.

Mrs. Greenwood stated adequate screening would be recommended.

Mr. Short replied, yes, in the front as it is one lot.

Mr. Seguin asked Mrs. Greenwood are you recommending vegetation or screening.

Mr. Foster replied, it can be a masonry wall, a constructed decorative fence, a louvered fence, trees, and scrubs.

Mr. Schommer asked so we are stating, appropriate screening on Franklin Street frontage.

Mr. Foster stated landscaping in the front and screening per code would apply.

Mr. Seguin asked Mr. Foster for clarification. If the board wanted to recommend a modification of screening down the side, we would need to make a recommendation to also split the lot. Does anyone see it necessary.

The board discussed the current property and modifications.

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Bellbrook Village Review Board

June 6, 2023

Mr. Schommer asked Mrs. Greenwood to clarify her Motion.

Mr. Schommer went over the Motion for the following modifications:

- size of the new structures to be no more than the size of the existing structure.
- setback from the right of way equal to the residential structure
- appropriate screening on Franklin Street frontage
- lighting requirements per code

Mr. Schommer asked regarding the size of the new structure. We are referring to the nonresidential structure, but it is all connected. Are we talking about the entirety of the existing structure.

Mr. Foster replied, the entirety of the existing structure.

Mrs. Greenwood read Article 12B4 central business district.

Mr. Foster showed the information on the map provided.

Mr. Schommer went over the Motion for the following modifications made by Mrs. Greenwood:

- size of the new structures to be no more than the size of the existing structure.
- setback from the right of way equal to the residential structure
- appropriate screening on Franklin Street frontage
- lighting requirements per code

Motion made by Mrs. Greenwood as previously stated. Seconded by Mr. Camp.

Voting Yea: Mr. Camp, Mrs. Greenwood, Mr. Seguin, and Mrs. Taylor

Motion Carries

Mrs. Greenwood asked why the zoning permit was not signed. Why is that.

Mr. Foster replied, he took that and filled in the numbers so you would have this as an example. When we move forward, we will have an application filled out by him.

Mrs. Greenwood asked as far as the demolition. Does that come before us.

Mr. Foster replied, it is only requested for the demolition of a main building not a detached garage.

Mr. Schommer any more discussion or motion to consider this application would be the next step with the noted modification.

Mr. Camp asked about a Motion to approve the application with the modification.

Motion made by Mr. Camp, Seconded by Mrs. Taylor.

Voting Yea: Mr. Camp, Mrs. Greenwood, Mr. Seguin, and Mrs. Taylor

Motion Carries

Mrs. Greenwood asked if anyone had any other questions. None

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Bellbrook Village Review Board

June 6, 2023

ADJOURNMENT:

With no further business coming before the Board, Mrs. Greenwood declared the meeting adjourned at ____ pm.


Jacqueline Greenwood, Chair Person


Rob Schommer, Clerk of Council